arXiv:1605.06229v1 [math.AG] 20 May 2016

FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORM OF VECTOR BUNDLES ON SURFACES TO HILBERT SCHEME

INDRANIL BISWAS AND D. S. NAGARAJ

Abstract. Let S be an irreducible smooth projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field k. For a positive integer d, let $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$ be the Hilbert scheme parametrizing the zero-dimensional subschemes of S of length d. For a vector bundle E on S, let $\mathcal{H}(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$ be its Fourier–Mukai transform constructed using the structure sheaf of the universal subscheme of $S \times \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$ as the kernel. We prove that two vector bundles E and F on S are isomorphic if the vector bundles $\mathcal{H}(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}(F)$ are isomorphic.

1. Introduction

Let S be an irreducible smooth projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field. For a positive integer d, let $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$ denote the Hilbert scheme that parametrizes the zero dimensional subschemes of S of length d. Let

$$\mathcal{Z} \subset S \times \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$$

be the universal subscheme. Let

$$\beta : S \times \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S) \longrightarrow S \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma : S \times \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$$

be the natural projections. Given a coherent sheaf E on S, we have the Fourier–Mukai transform

$$\mathcal{H}(E) = \gamma_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \beta^* E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)\,.$$

If E is locally free, then $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is also locally free because the restriction

$$\gamma|_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$$

is a finite and flat morphism. Therefore, this Fourier–Mukai transform gives a map from the isomorphism classes of vector bundles on S to the isomorphism classes of vector bundles on $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(S)$.

A natural question to ask is whether this map is injective or surjective. Note that since $\dim \mathrm{Hilb}^d(S) > \dim S$ if $d \geq 2$, this map can't be surjective when $d \geq 2$. Our aim here is to prove that this map is injective. More precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Two vector bundles E and F on S are isomorphic if and only if $\mathcal{H}(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}(F)$ are isomorphic.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J60, 14C05.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert scheme, symmetric product, Fourier-Mukai transform, semistability.

Theorem 1.1 was proved earlier under the assumption that S is a K3 or abelian surface; this was done by Addington, Markman–Mehrotra and Meachan (see [Ad], [MM], and [MC]).

2. Vector bundles on curves and its symmetric product

Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let C be an irreducible smooth projective curve defined over k of genus g_C, with $g_C \geq 2$. The canonical line bundle of C will be denoted by K_C. Fix an integer $d \geq 2$. Let S_d denote the group of permutations of $\{1\,,\cdots,d\}$. The symmetric product

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d(C) := C^d/S_d$$

is the quotient for natural action of S_d on C^d. Let

$$\mathcal{D} \subset C \times \mathrm{Sym}^d(C)$$

be the universal divisor which consists of all $(x\,,\{y_1\,,\cdots,y_d\})$ such that $x \in \{y_1\,,\cdots,y_d\}$. Let

$$p_1 : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow C \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^d(C) \tag{2.1}$$

be the projections defined by

$$(x\,,\{y_1\,,\cdots,y_d\}) \longmapsto x \quad \text{and} \quad (x\,,\{y_1\,,\cdots,y_d\}) \longmapsto \{y_1\,,\cdots,y_d\}$$

respectively.

For any algebraic vector bundle E on C, define the direct image

$$\mathcal{S}(E) := p_{2*}p_1^*E \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^d(C)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are defined in (2.1). This $\mathcal{S}(E)$ is locally free because p_2 is a finite and flat morphism.

If $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{m-1} \subset E_m = E$ is the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E, then define

$$\mu_{\max}(E) := \frac{\mathrm{degree}(E_1)}{\mathrm{rank}(E_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\min}(E) := \frac{\mathrm{degree}(E/E_{m-1})}{\mathrm{rank}(E/E_{m-1})}\,.$$

So $\mu_{\max}(E) \geq \mu_{\min}(E)$, and $\mu_{\max}(E) = \mu_{\min}(E)$ if and only if E is semistable.

Proposition 2.1. *Let E and F be vector bundles on C such that*

$$\mu_{\max}(E) - \mu_{\min}(E) < 2(g_C - 1) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mu_{\max}(F) - \mu_{\min}(F) < 2(g_C - 1)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

If the two vector bundles $\mathcal{S}(E)$ and $\mathcal{S}(F)$ (defined in (2.2)) are isomorphic, then E is isomorphic to F.

Proof. Let

$$\varphi : C \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^d(C)$$

be the morphism defined by $z \longmapsto d \cdot z = (z\,,\cdots,z)$. Then $\varphi^*\mathcal{S}(E)$ admits a filtration

$$0 = E(d) \subset E(d-1) \subset E(d-2) \subset \cdots \subset E(1) \subset E(0) = \varphi^*\mathcal{S}(E) \tag{2.4}$$

such that

$$E(d-1) \,=\, E\otimes K_C^{\otimes(d-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad E(i)/E(i+1) \,=\, E\otimes K_C^{\otimes i} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $0 \,\leq\, i \,\leq\, d-2$ (see [BN, p. 330, (3.7)]); in [BN] it is assumed that $k \,=\, \mathbb{C}$, but the proof works for any algebraically closed field. Let

$$0 \,=\, E_0 \,\subset\, E_1 \,\subset\, \cdots \,\subset\, E_{m-1} \,\subset\, E_m \,=\, E$$

be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E. For any $j \,\in\, \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mu_{\max}(E\otimes K_C^{\otimes j}) \,=\, \mu_{\max}(E)+2j(g_C-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\min}(E\otimes K_C^{\otimes j}) \,=\, \mu_{\min}(E)+2j(g_C-1)\,.$$

Hence the condition in (2.3) implies that

$$\mu_{\max}(E\otimes K_C^{\otimes j}) \,<\, \mu_{\min}(E\otimes K_C^{\otimes (j+1)})\,.$$

Therefore, from (2.4) and (2.5) we conclude the following:

- The Harder–Narasimhan filtration of $\varphi^*\mathcal{S}(E)$ has md nonzero terms.
- If
$$0 \,=\, V_0 \,\subset\, V_1 \,\subset\, \cdots \,\subset\, V_{md-1} \,\subset\, V_{md} \,=\, \varphi^*\mathcal{S}(E)$$
is the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of $\varphi^*\mathcal{S}(E)$, then for any $0 \,\leq\, j \,\leq\, d$,
$$V_{mj} \,=\, E(d-j)\,,$$
where $E(d-j)$ is the subbundle in (2.4).

More precisely, for any $0 \,\leq\, j \,\leq\, d-1$ and $0 \,\leq\, i \,\leq\, m$,

$$V_{jm+i}/V_{jm} \,=\, E_i\otimes K_C^{\otimes(d-j-1)}\,.$$

In particular, we have

$$V_m \,=\, E(d-1) \,=\, E\otimes K_C^{\otimes(d-1)}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

If $\mathcal{S}(E)$ and $\mathcal{S}(F)$ are isomorphic, comparing the Harder–Narasimhan filtrations of $\varphi^*\mathcal{S}(E)$ and $\varphi^*\mathcal{S}(F)$, and using (2.6), we conclude that $E\otimes K_C^{\otimes(d-1)}$ is isomorphic to $F\otimes K_C^{\otimes(d-1)}$. This implies that E is isomorphic to F. □

In [BN, Theorem 3.2], Proposition 2.1 was proved under that assumption that both E and F are semistable.

2.1. **An example.** We give an example to show that in general, $\mathcal{S}(E) \,=\, \mathcal{S}(F)$ does not imply that $E \,=\, F$.

Note that $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^1) \,\simeq\, \mathbb{P}^2$. If we identify $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ with $\mathbb{P}^2$, then the universal degree two divisor

$$\mathcal{D}_2 \,\subset\, \mathbb{P}^1\times \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{P}^1) \,\simeq\, \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^2$$

is the zero locus of a section of the line bundle $p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))\otimes q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$, where

$$p \,:\, \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^2 \,\longrightarrow\, \mathbb{P}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad q \,:\, \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^2 \,\longrightarrow\, \mathbb{P}^2 \tag{2.7}$$

are the natural projections. From this we see that

- $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$.

For any two vector bundles E and F on $\mathbb{P}^1$ we have $\mathcal{S}(E \oplus F) = \mathcal{S}(E) \oplus \mathcal{S}(F)$. From these observations it follows that

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)^{\oplus 2} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))\,.$$

3. Vector bundles on surfaces and Hilbert scheme

Let S be an irreducible smooth projective surface defined over k. For any $d \geq 1$, let $\text{Hilb}^d(S)$ denote the Hilbert scheme parametrizing the 0–dimensional subschemes of S of length d (see [Fo]). Let

$$\mathcal{Z} \subset S \times \text{Hilb}^d(S)$$

be the universal subscheme which consists of all $(x\,, z) \in S \times \text{Hilb}^d(S)$ such that $x \in z$. Let

$$q_1 : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow S \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \text{Hilb}^d(S) \tag{3.1}$$

be the projections defined by $(x\,, z) \longmapsto x$ and $(x\,, z) \longmapsto z$ respectively.

For any algebraic vector bundle E on S, define the direct image

$$\mathcal{H}(E) := q_{2*}q_1^*E \longrightarrow \text{Hilb}^d(S)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the projections in (3.1). Since q_2 is a finite and flat morphism, the direct image $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is locally free. We note that $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is the Fourier–Mukai transform of E with respect to the kernel sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on $S \times \text{Hilb}^d(S)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E and F be vector bundles on S such that $\mathcal{H}(E)$ (defined in (3.2)) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(F)$. Then the two vector bundles E and F are isomorphic.*

Proof. If $\iota : C \hookrightarrow S$ is an embedded irreducible smooth closed curve, then ι induces a morphism

$$\text{Sym}^d(C) \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}^d(S)\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Fix a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on S. Let

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{m-1} \subset E_m = E \tag{3.4}$$

be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E with respect to $\mathcal{L}$. Let

$$Y \subset S$$

be the subset over which some E_i fails to be a subbundle of E. This Y is a finite subset because any torsionfree sheaf on S is locally free outside a finite subset. Also note that Y is the subset over which the filtration in (3.4) fails to be filtration of subbundles of E.

For $n \geq 1$, let

$$\iota : C \longrightarrow S\,, \quad C \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$$

be an irreducible smooth closed curve lying in the complete linear system $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ such that $\iota(C)\bigcap Y = \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample, such curves exist.

For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is an integer ℓ_i such that $\iota^*(E_i/E_{i-1})$ is semistable for a general member of $C \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ if $n \geq \ell_i$ [MR, p. 221, Theorem 6.1]. Take

$$\ell' = \max\{\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m\}.$$

If $n \geq \ell'$, then for a general $C \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$, the pulled back filtration

$$0 = \iota^*E_0 \subset \iota^*E_1 \subset \cdots \subset \iota^*E_{m-1} \subset \iota^*E_m = \iota^*E$$

coincides with the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of ι^*E. Indeed, this follows immediately from the following two facts:

(1) $\iota^*(E_i/E_{i-1})$ is semistable for a general member of $C \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ if $n \geq \ell_i$, and
(2) $\mu(\iota^*(E_i/E_{i-1})) > \mu(\iota^*(E_{i+1}/E_i))$ because $\mu(E_i/E_{i-1}) > \mu(E_{i+1}/E_i)$.

Let W be a vector bundle S. Define

$$d_W := c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot c_1(W) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As before, let

$$\iota : C \longrightarrow S, \quad C \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$$

be an irreducible smooth closed curve. We have

$$\text{degree}(\iota^*W) = n \cdot d_W. \tag{3.5}$$

In other words, $\text{degree}(\iota^*W)$ depends linearly on n. From the adjunction formula,

$$2(\text{genus}(C) - 1) = c_1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes K_S),$$

where K_S is the canonical line bundle of S (see [Ha, p. 361, Proposition 1.5]). Hence we have

$$\text{genus}(C) = \frac{n^2(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L})) + nd_{K_S} + 2}{2} \tag{3.6}$$

(see (3.5)). In other words, $\text{genus}(C)$ is a quadratic function of n.

Comparing (3.5) and (3.6) we conclude that there is an integer $\ell \geq \ell'$ such that for $n \geq \ell$, we have

$$\mu(\iota^*E_1) - \mu(\iota^*(E/E_{m-1})) < 2(\text{genus}(C) - 1),$$

where $C \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ is an irreducible smooth closed curve. Note that this implies that $\text{genus}(C) \geq 2$.

Consider the embedding in (3.3). The restriction of $\mathcal{H}(E)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{H}(F)$) to $\text{Sym}^d(C)$ coincides with $\mathcal{S}(\iota^*E)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{S}(\iota^*F)$) constructed in (2.2). So $\mathcal{S}(\iota^*E)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\iota^*F)$ are isomorphic because $\mathcal{H}(E)$ and $\mathcal{H}(F)$ are isomorphic. Since $\mathcal{S}(\iota^*E)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\iota^*F)$ are isomorphic, from Proposition 2.1 it follows that ι^*E and ι^*F are isomorphic for a general $C \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ with $n \geq \ell$.

The line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ being ample, there is an integer ℓ'' such that for every $n \geq \ell''$, we have

$$H^1(S,\, E\otimes F^*\otimes K_S\otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \,=\, 0\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Take $n \geq \ell''$, and let

$$\iota \,:\, C \,\hookrightarrow\, S$$

be any irreducible smooth closed curve lying in $|\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$. Consider the short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \,\longrightarrow\, F\otimes E^*\otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-C) \,\longrightarrow\, F\otimes E^* \,\longrightarrow\, (F\otimes E^*)|_C \,\longrightarrow\, 0\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $H^1(S,\, F\otimes E^*\otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-C)) \,=\, H^1(S,\, E\otimes F^*\otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}\otimes K_S)^*$ (Serre duality), from (3.7) it follows that

$$H^1(S,\, F\otimes E^*\otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-C)) \,=\, 0\,.$$

Therefore, from the long exact sequence of cohomology groups associated to (3.8) we conclude that the restriction homomorphism

$$\rho \,:\, H^0(S,\, F\otimes E^*) \,\longrightarrow\, H^0(C,\, (F\otimes E^*)|_C) \tag{3.9}$$

is surjective.

Take $n \,\geq\, \max\{\ell\,, \ell''\}$, and let $C \,\in\, |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}|$ be a general member. We know that ι^*E and ι^*F are isomorphic. Fix an isomorphism

$$I \,:\, \iota^*E \,\longrightarrow\, \iota^*F\,.$$

So $I \,\in\, H^0(C,\, \iota^*(F\otimes E^*))$. Since ρ in (3.9) is surjective, there is a homomorphism

$$\widetilde{I} \,\in\, H^0(S,\, F\otimes E^*)$$

such that $\rho(\widetilde{I}) \,=\, I$. Let r be the rank of E (and also F). Consider the homomorphism of line bundles

$$\bigwedge^r \widetilde{I} : \bigwedge^r E \,\longrightarrow\, \bigwedge^r F$$

induced by I. Let

$$D(\widetilde{I}) \,:=\, \mathrm{Div}(\bigwedge^r \widetilde{I})$$

be the effective divisor for $\bigwedge^r \widetilde{I}$. We know that $D(\widetilde{I})$ does not intersect C because the restriction $\rho(\widetilde{I}) \,=\, I$ is an isomorphism. But C is an ample effective divisor, so C intersects any closed curve in S. Therefore, $D(\widetilde{I})$ must be the zero divisor. Consequently, the homomorphism $\bigwedge^r \widetilde{I}$ is an isomorphism. This implies that $\widetilde{I}$ is an isomorphism. So the two vector bundles E and F are isomorphic. □

References

[Ad] N. Addington, New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties, arXiv:1112.0487.

[BN] I. Biswas and D. S. Nagaraj, Reconstructing vector bundles on curves from their direct image on symmetric powers, *Archiv Math.* **99** (2012), 327–331.

[Fo] J. Fogarty, Algebraic families on an algebraic surface, *Amer. Jour. Math.* **90** (1968), 511–521.

[Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[MC] C. Meachan, Derived autoequivalences of generalised Kummer varieties, arXiv:1212.5286.

[MM] E. Markman and S. Mehrotra, Integral transforms and deformations of K3 surfaces, preprint.
[MR] V. Mehta and A. Ramanathan, Semistable sheaves on projective varieties and their restriction to curves, *Math. Ann.* **258** (1982), 213–224.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

E-mail address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India

E-mail address: dsn@imsc.res.in